IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

O. O. C. J.

WRIT PETITION NO.3090 OF 2006

Dileep B. Nevatia ..Petitioner.
Vs.
Ministry of Environment &
Forests and others ..Respondents.

....

Mr. Navin Parekh i/b Mr. P.S. Gidwani for the Petitioner.
Mr. C.M. Lokesh for Respondent No.3.
Ms. Tahera Madina for Respondent No.4.
Mr. A.L. Patki for Respondent No.5.
Mr. Aspi Chinoy, Senior Advocate i/b Harish Joshi & Co. for Respondent No.8.
Mr. N.P. Pandit, AGP for Respondents 9 to 11.

....

CORAM: **SWATANTER KUMAR, C.J. &**
DR. D.Y. CHANDRACHUD, J.

31st October, 2007.

P.C. :

1. It is stated on behalf of Respondent No.8 that at present the work is being carried on in the sea, upto 300 mtrs. away from the boundary wall and in fact the pillar work has already been completed. It is further stated that this fact is supported even by the photographs filed on behalf of the Petitioner at page 101 of the paperbook.

2. On this premise it is also submitted that the said Respondent while carrying out the work of the road side ramp for connecting the bridge would ensure that they will carry out the work as per the norms and specifications provided by the concerned authorities.

3. Counsel appearing for Respondent No.5 states that they are keeping due check on the work of the said Respondent and would ensure that it is properly executed in accordance with the norms provided by the Board particularly when they revert back for carrying out work at the road side.

4. We make it clear that this is without prejudice to the right of the Petitioner to question any other matter if he is so advised. In view of the statement made, this Petition does not survive. No further directions are called for. The Petition is accordingly disposed of.

CHIEF JUSTICE

DR. D.Y. CHANDRACHUD, J.